

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

United States District Court
Central District of California
Western Division

ROBERT FORD,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATURAL HEALTH TRENDS CORP.,
et al.,

Defendants.

CV 16-00255 TJH (AFM)

Order

LIANGBIN LI,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATURAL HEALTH TRENDS CORP.,
et al.,

Defendants.

CV 16-00309 TJH (AFM)

This matter is before the Court on the joint stipulation of all movants regarding appointment of lead plaintiffs and approval of selection of counsel. The

1 Court, having read all relevant pleadings and papers that bear on the movants' joint
2 stipulation, and being fully informed, finds and concludes as follows:

3 WHEREAS, the above-captioned securities class actions (the "Securities Class
4 Actions") have been filed against Natural Health Trends Corp., *et al.* (collectively
5 "Defendants"), alleging claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities
6 Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder;

7 WHEREAS, on March 28, 2016, all lead-plaintiff movants filed a joint
8 stipulation regarding the appointment of lead plaintiffs and approval of selection of
9 counsel, in which the movants agreed that the appropriate co-lead plaintiffs in these
10 cases should be movants Wang Juan ("Juan") and Manh Dao ("Dao") (who claim
11 combined losses of about \$437,834.90), and collectively agreed to the selection of
12 The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. and Levi & Korsinsky, LLP as co-lead counsel;

13 The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, provides,
14 *inter alia*, that the most-adequate plaintiff to serve as lead plaintiff is the person or
15 group of persons that has either filed a complaint or has made a motion in response
16 to a notice and has the largest financial interest in the relief sought by the Class and
17 makes a *prima facie* showing of adequacy and typicality under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23;

18 WHEREAS, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B) provides, *inter alia*, that as soon as
19 practicable after the decision on consolidation is rendered, the Court shall appoint
20 the most adequate plaintiff as lead plaintiff for the consolidated actions;

21 WHEREAS, Movants Juan and Dao have the largest financial interest in this
22 action and *prima facie* satisfy the typicality and adequacy requirements of Fed. R.
23 Civ. P. 23. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(iii)(I). Therefore,

24 **It is Ordered** that the cases be, and hereby are, **Consolidated**.

25 **It is further Ordered** that Movants Juan and Dao be, and hereby are,
26 **Appointed** as the Lead Plaintiffs.

